## **Franchise Tax Board**

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

Author: <u> </u>	Bowen	_ Analyst:	Deborah Barre	ett	Bill Number:	SB 852
Related Bills:	See Prior Analysis	Telephone:	845-4301 Am	nended Date:	06-22-05	
		Attorney:	Patrick Kusiak	Sponsor:	:	
SUBJECT: State Agencies Notify California Resident Of Any Breach Of Security Of Data.						
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended						
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.						
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended						
X FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.						
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO						
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED May 10, 2005, STILL APPLIES.						
OTHER – See comments below.						
SUMMARY						
This bill would expand requirements to provide individuals notice of security breaches of personal information. The requirement would apply both to state agencies and to businesses.						
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS						
The June 22, 2005, amendments added an additional notification requirement in the event a breach of security of systems containing personal information occurs. The amendments require that in addition to providing notice to the person whose personal information may have been acquired by an unauthorized person, a copy of the security breach notice is required to be sent to the Office of Privacy Protection (OPP) under the Department of Consumer Affairs without unreasonable delay.						
The June 22, 2005, amendments added an implementation concern for the department, which is discussed below in this analysis. The remainder of the department's analysis as amended on May 10, 2005, still applies.						
POSITION						
Pending.						
Board Position				Legislative D	Director	Date
	SAO		NP NAR X PENDING	Brian Putle	er	07/29/2005

Senate Bill 852 (Bowen) Amended 06-21-2005 Page 2

## IMPLEMENTATION CONCERN

The department has identified the following implementation concern. Department staff is available to work with the author's office to resolve this concern.

The amendment that would require a copy of the notice sent to taxpayers to be provided to OPP violates current disclosure laws. FTB is prohibited from disclosing return information, such as names and addresses that would be on those notices, to other state agencies for non tax administration purposes. This conflict could be resolved and still achieve the results the author is seeking by revising the language to specify that the agency or business should alert OPP of applicable breaches and provide a sample of the notice sent to the taxpayer. To remain consistent with current disclosure requirements, the language should specify that no personal information from the department records be included in the sample notice sent to OPP.

## LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Deborah Barrett Franchise Tax Board (916) 845-4301 deborah.barrett@ftb.ca.gov Brian Putler Franchise Tax Board (916) 845-6333 brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov